

1. Establishment of Quorum, Call to Order and Introductions (*Call to order at 5:20*)

- **Board Members Present:** Ben Nicholls, Roy Dahl, Cecelia Moreno, Susan McNeil Schreyer, Steve Saars, Tim Gahagan, Selina Stockley, Doug Scott, Char Lou Benedict, Megan Garth, Tom Fox
- **Board Absences (Excused):** Natalie Villegas, Roy McMakin
- **UCPD Staff Present:** Elizabeth Hannon, Chris DeMoville, Marc Marconi
- **City of San Diego Council & Staff Present:** John Ly, Joe Jimenez, Brian Genovese, Brittany Bailey.
- **Public Attendees:** Bill Keller, Basil Scofidio, Howard Haimsohn

2. President's Report

- B. Nicholls reports that the UCPD financial documents are reviewed at the Executive Committee. This is a forum board & community members are encouraged to attend.
- B. Nicholls invites the committee chairs to bring new projects forward.
- B. Nicholls comments he will follow the Leo Wilson model of hosting community meetings by offering the public opportunities to comment before a motion is proposed.

3. Non-Agenda Public Comment

- B. Nicholls reports that Item 5 on the Agenda is tabled for a future meeting.

4. Consent Agenda, item A-B (*Action Items*)

[UCPD170201] Motion: to Approve UCPD Minutes for December 2016 and January 2017:

- Introduced by S.M. Schreyer: seconded by S. Saars
 - **Vote for Approval:** 9
 - **Vote Against Approval:** 0
 - **Abstain:** 1 M. Garth
- **Motion Passes:** (9-0-1)

T. Gahagan arrives (5:26)

[UCPD170202] Motion: to Approve Approval Financials – Re-stating October 2016, approving November and December 2016:

- Introduced by R. Dahl: seconded by C. Moreno

Comments:

- C. Moreno asks for financials to be withdrawn from consent agenda for explanation.
- C. Moreno explains a new category has been added to address temporary staffing. The executive committee revised previous financials to apply all expenses for employment services to this new category (was previously shown under “communications”).
- C. L Benedict notes that it may be appropriate to charge staff time to specific projects, instead of personal as a whole.
- B. Nicholls will add this to the agenda at the next Executive Committee meeting.
 - **Vote for Approval:** 8
 - **Vote Against Approval:** 1 (C.L. Benedict)
 - **Abstain:** 2 (M. Garth, D. Scott)
- **Motion Passes:** (8-1-2)

5. HBA Nomination for Hillcrest Business Seat on UCPD Board (Action Item)

- The candidate withdrew from nomination

6. City of San Diego University Ave Bike-Lane Project (Action Item)

- B. Genovese reviews the overall scope, history, and phases of the SANDAG bikeway project. He reviews the SANDAG Board's decision to drop the bikeway segment from 1st Ave to 9th Ave. The Uptown Community planning group asked the city to adapt some roads in order to complete the gap from the SANDAG bikeway. He specifies that this City project only addresses the gap from 5th Ave to 9th Ave then continues along University to Park Blvd. This will be implemented as the City finishes street resurfacing on University Ave. between those blocks.
- B. Genovese notes that this project will require removing some on-street parking along University Ave.
- C. Moreno asks if the bike lane will be on both sides of the street, and are both sides part of this specific project.
 - B. Genovese answers that yes, this bike lane will be on both sides of University Ave.
- S. Saars asks the width of the current lanes.
 - B. Genovese answers the existing travel lanes are around 11 feet.
- C. Moreno asks about the width on the south side of the proposed bike lane (5th-6th Ave).
 - B. Genovese answers the bike lane is 5 feet wide on south side, and 6 feet wide on the north side.
- C. Moreno asks for clarity regarding whether or not city buses will need to turn through the bike lane.
 - B. Genovese answers yes, this will be required but it is standard operation.
- C. Moreno and S. M. Schreyer note this specific intersection (5th at University) is frequently congested.
- S. Saars asks if B. Genovese has specific usage counts for this intersection.
 - B. Genovese answers he does not have those numbers.
- B. Genovese comments that between 7th and 8th Ave the City will remove 4 parking spots on the north side and 5 on the south side of University Ave. He notes all parking will be removed from 6th Avenue to Vermont St. He also identifies the specific places where parking could be angled in order to offset parking losses.
- B. Nicholls asks B. Genovese for the specific number of parking gains.
- B. Genovese answers this project will add 14 new parking space on 8th Ave.
- C. Moreno asks how many spots will be added on 7th Ave.
 - B. Genovese responds there will be no net gains on 7th Ave.
- S. M. Schreyer asks if they considered both head in parking changes and angled parking changes.
 - B. Genovese answers driveway locations along 7th Ave present a problem, but they looked at all possible solutions to add parking.
- C. Moreno asks J. Jimenez if 7th Ave is in the meter zone currently under city ordinance.
 - J. Jimenez answers yes, according to research conducted by E. Hannon and M. Marconi, an ordinance for parking meters on 7th Ave currently exists.

- B. Genovese clarifies he is not currently addressing parking meters, and all parking spaces and meter decisions will need to be made by the UCPD board and J. Jimenez.
- B. Genovese notes the geometry of the bridge crossing CA-163 along University Ave is very narrow and will require the bike lane to be shared with cars in that location. He also notes this portion of the bridge is controlled by CALTRANS and will require a permit to change.
- B. Genovese notes on 10th Ave there is a gain of 8 new parking spaces.
 - S. Saars states there will be 7 parking spaces removed from the front of ACE Hardware.
- B. Genovese specifies this will be the last removal of parking caused by this project, as east of this location the roads are wide enough to accommodate the proposed bike lane.
- B. Genovese reviews the directive from the council and mayor's office to offset the 29 parking spaces that would be lost with this project by angling parking near the University project along Essex St., 8th, 9th and 10th Ave.
- B. Nicholls asks B. Genovese to clarify the net gain made by this directive
 - B. Genovese answers the total spaces added will be 24.
- B. Nicholls asks for public comment on this project.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

- H. Haimsohn of Lawrence Furniture comments that instead of saying they're removing three spots, it would be more appropriate for the City to say they are taking three more spots, as there has been a continuous loss of parking in front of his business. He thinks this isn't a well thought out project and the only way this is a safe bike lane is if bikes don't use it. He also questions the fair tradeoff for business owners. What is equitable to business parking needs vs the benefit trade off of building a dedicated bike lane. He commutes along 4th and 5th Avenues and rarely sees more than 1 or 2 bikes a day along lanes painted on 4th and 5th Avenues.
- B. Scofidio of Freelance Salon mentions there are close to 60 to 80 salons in Hillcrest that see a low end of about 3,700 visitors each week. He feels the new parking space locations don't correlate to the lost spaces; and he rarely sees people riding bikes for hair appointments. He also notes many salon services last longer than two hours and adding more parking meters will have a negative impact on these businesses.

UCPD BOARD COMMENTS

- C. Moreno notes that despite early enthusiasm, she can't support this project as it only adds a minimal amount of spaces.
- S.M. Schreyer shares the salon service usage count presented by B. Scofidio is likely low. She is concerned about loss of parking in front of ACE Hardware. She believes the City needs to have a better understanding of challenges in the community before taking action on this proposal.
- B. Nicholls comments that the Hillcrest Business Association (HBA) has been working to get the best bike lanes possible and the HBA focused on the principle that if the City takes

a space, then they should add a space nearby. He identifies with H. Haimsohn and feels badly that his business parking has been repurposed by the City for bus and bike lanes. During the time he has been with the Parking District he has been working to get parking for those residents on Essex St. The 24 parking spaces that will be added to the neighborhood will be appreciated by all.

- T. Gahagan comments that he identifies with audiences concerns and appreciates this attempt to mitigate parking loss.
- R. Dahl notes the SANDAG bike lane will probably occur whether this project moves forward or not. SANDAG won't answer question and can't do their bikeway without the loss of parking in front of ACE Hardware. He points out the proposed pop out on Vermont & Essex St. and notes that while SANDAG won't build this, it would be nice to at least mark it out so cars can't go into that spot. This would be a great addition for pedestrian safety.
- S. Saars comments that he gets the purpose of the bike way, however taking away significant parking spaces off University Ave will add stress to business blocks currently half or fully vacant. As a resident he is concerned this project will push all business parking into residential spaces and this will sacrifice the entire core area. This is not solving the problem, and these two bottlenecks are a big problem. He sees two issues- two dangerous bottlenecks where bikes will be sharing lanes with cars, and pushing business customers into the residential areas. ACE Hardware and Lawrence Furniture are anchor stores keeping other stores open. Unless the City can add more spots he can't support this project.
- S Stockley wonders at what point a fatality is acceptable. She thinks this is a dangerous project and she can't support this due to safety concerns.
- M. Garth feels similarly to S. Stockley. She wonders if bike riders at large support shared traffic lanes. She is also concerned about the loss of parking spaces along University Ave.
- C.L. Benedict wonders why this is an action item?
 - B. Nicholls answers the UCPD Board has the opportunity to make a motion regarding this project.
 - C.L. Benedict is concerned that this moves business parking to residential neighborhoods, and this may lead to a residential parking permit program in the future. She appreciates the efforts made by the City, but doesn't support the project.
- D. Scott tends to park below University Ave when he goes to Hillcrest. If the biking community supports this, has had input and are comfortable with this configuration, then he's okay with the project moving forward.
- T. Fox supports business on University Ave, east of Ace Hardware and notes those businesses rely on street parking. He comments that in 3 to 5 years, there will be a need to add residential spaces and business spaces. This need is going to be exacerbated by these parking losses. He sees a need for the proposed residential spaces while leaving the current business spaces intact.
- B. Nicholls asks if anyone is interested in making a Motion.
- S. Saars asks if this project will actually only add 24 angled spaces?
 - B. Genovese answers that E. Hannon has been asked to address the group regarding other locations UCPD staff has identified for potential parking gains.

- S. Saars comments that he can't support this project as businesses located along University Ave in Hillcrest will be affected right away. He believes City Council and Mayor's staff need to help us add new spaces before this project moves forward.
- R. Dahl supports these added spaces and believes that UCPD can approve this project with the caveat in the Motion that the City assists UCPD in capturing new parking gains that have already been identified.
- C. Moreno responds that those spaces have been identified for over 3 years and the City has failed to prioritize adding them.
- B. Nicholls comments that it sounds like this item is not ready for consideration, and that he was reluctant to add it to the agenda.
- J. Ly of the mayor's office notes that he previously expressed to E. Hannon that the mayor's office is committed to evaluating parking gains that can be made in other locations around Hillcrest. He emphasizes the City needs to verify whether or not the streets can handle these new spaces and begin to petition the residents for approval. He verbally commits to make sure this gets investigated.
 - C. Moreno asks when they will begin this investigation.
 - J. Ly answers that he can't commit to a specific date, but it will happen during the 2017 calendar year.
- B. Bailey of Council Member Chris Ward's office states the Councilmember recognizes how this will impact the Uptown community. Their office has had conversations with E. Hannon and mayor's office, and they have requested implementing these additional spaces as a priority. Tying the two (city and UCPD additional) angled parking projects together may be a mistake when the opportunity exists for a net gain in parking now.
- S. Saars wants commitment for more spaces before saying yes, but is willing to make a motion to put this back on the committee agenda.
- E Hannon comments that notifications to the community regarding the changes to these parking spaces along 8th, 10th and Essex St. have already been distributed by the city. She asks B. Genovese to clarify the timeframe.
- B. Genovese comments that the necessary notices seeking community input on these changes have been mailed and that his office is waiting for community feedback.
- S. Saars asks if the entire Uptown district has been notified.
- B. Genovese answers no, notifications are only sent to residents adjacent to these parking changes.
- B. Nicholls asks if anyone would like to make a motion.

(No Motion offered, B. Nicholls calls the next item)

7. Neighborhood Association Parking Project Program (NAPP)(Action Item)

- B. Nicholls reviews a drat letter to the city expressing his desire to revisit the UCPD offering a NAPP program to associations in Uptown.
- T. Gahagan wonders how this is different than what UCPD does already.
 - BN responds that with the downtown program the subcontracting occurs as part of the budget process, not as part of the bidding process. He notes that the City continues to see a difference between Downtown and Uptown.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

- B. Keller comments that this program worked very well in Little Italy.

UCPD BOARD COMMENTS

- C. Moreno is enthusiastic about this program.
- S. M. Schreyer notes she spent time developing this program last year and believes the changes at the City present an opportunity to gain approval.
- B. Nicholls mentions the City needs to develop this in Uptown to match what has been a successful program in Downtown, and he sees this as a way UCPD can successfully leverage resources.
- R. Dahl notes he was involved with the subcommittee (last year) responsible for developing this program and he considers it well thought out.
- S. Saars, S. Stockley, and M. Garth all offer support.
- C.L. Benedict is concerned there is no Business Improvement District (BID) in Bankers Hill. She asks if other organizations will have access to this program. She doesn't object to the idea, just management of projects and BIDs, but she does support sending a letter.
- D. Scott has concerns for how UCPD would monitor these subcontractors.
- T. Fox asks if this is in conjunction with a Maintenance Assessment District (MAD)
 - B. Nicholls answers it can be in conjunction with any neighborhood organization that applies for consideration to the full UCPD board.
- T. Fox comments that MAD's are difficult for some communities to get off the ground, and asks if this could help those communities with that process?
 - B. Nicholls answers these are appropriate uses for this program.
- T. Fox supports this letter.
- E. Hannon suggests in addition to Chris Ward this letter should also be sent to senior management in Economic Development.
- D. Scott asks what qualifications an applicant would need to meet to apply.
 - B. Nicholls responds UCPD would work with the council office to determine what is acceptable.
- R. Dahl notes that the original committee motion was restricted to BIDs; however city council could add more definition. He comments the initial concern was how a community without a BID like Bankers Hill would access this program, and UCPD would need to work with the City to develop the details.

[UCPD170203] Motion: to Approve Sending the NAPP Project Program letter to the San Diego City Council for Consideration:

- Introduced by R. Dahl: seconded by C. Moreno
 - **Vote for Approval:** UNANIMOUS
 - **Vote Against Approval:** 0
 - **Abstain:** 0
- **Motion Passes:** (11-0-0)

8. Bankers Hill Internship Program (Action Item)

- C. DeMoville presents a memo stating the Bankers Hill Neighborhood Parking Committee (BHNPC) recently passed a motion [BH170202] supporting this program's development. He mentions this was an idea C. L. Benedict had while interacting with a group of UCSD students who attended a Bankers Hill Community Group meeting.
- T. Fox mentions this was discussed at the last BHNPC meeting, and the committee identified some projects ready for an intern. The interns would participate in data collection and other projects with a direct correlation to their major.
- C.L. Benedict notes the BHNPC could use help moving projects forward, and this would be a great way to supplement staffing costs.
- T. Gahagan comments staff seemed ambivalent towards interns when this type of program was brought up before.
- E. Hannon responds that several projects have been identified in Bankers Hill, and she would encourage Hillcrest to do the same if they want an intern.
- B. Nicholls notes many interns are now paid and any expenses would be the responsibility of Bankers Hill.
- S. M. Schreyer is happy to support her Alma Mater in the internship work.

[UCPD 170204] Motion: to Approve Developing an Internship Program for the Bankers Hill Neighborhood Parking Committee during the summer of 2017:

- Introduced by C. L. Benedict: seconded by B. Nicholls
 - **Vote for Approval:** UNANIMOUS
 - **Vote Against Approval:** 0
 - **Abstain:** 0
- **Motion Passes:** (11-0-0)

9. Hillcrest Update (Information Item)

- M. Marconi presents a general overview and update of current projects being launched in Hillcrest including the Hillcrest Lunch Loop kickoff, the time limited parking enforcement shift, and the installation of new parking meters.
- J. Jimenez comments that work orders for the enforcement shift have been submitted. He also notes that a second batch of work orders for meter install, including on West Washington between Brant and Dove in Mission Hills, will be submitted this week. His intention is to have all this done by the end of March 2017.

10. COO Report (Information Items)

- E. Hannon comments on an opportunity for new valet podiums in Hillcrest and International Restaurant Row. She will have staff follow up to get these installed. She recently met with Councilmember Chris Ward who requested that UCPD message parking improvement projects comprehensively. She reviews current projects underway including the Hillcrest meter initiative developed to encourage turnover in the business core where spaces are being lost to the bike lane project. She recommends the UCPD consider having metered zones that are already under an existing ordinance expedited by requesting the city accept 2016 UCPD turnover and occupancy studies.
 - T. Gahagan asks if this is tied in with the bike route.

- E. Hannon responds no, J. Ly of the mayor's office promised to get these moving but the two items are likely to be implemented separately. She will ask the city to use UCPD studies. She also encourages B. Scofidio to attend Hillcrest Parking Committee meetings to discuss things that may help, and mentions in Bankers Hill staff have already begun to look at comprehensive parking strategies.
- T. Gahagan asks B. Bailey to clarify C. Wards request to message comprehensive parking projects.
 - B. Bailey responds her office is enthusiastic about UCPD projects but asks residents and business needs be considered.

11. Annual Report, FY18 Budget & Action Plan Process (Information Item)

- E. Hannon notes J. Jimenez sent over revenue allocation for FY18 being \$684,140. She has asked him to review administrative amounts that are taken out before the UCPD split and notes this is essentially the same amount for the past three years and would expect revenue to be higher. The budget needs to be finalized at the March 13th board meeting, per the City's request.
- C.L. Benedict comments that UCPD initiated smart meters 18 months ago, and she wonders if we should allocate revenue by smart meters data.
 - E. Hannon responds this process is up for review every 5 years and the current revue share percentages are educated guesses based on FY11 or 12.
 - B. Nicholls would like to add this to the Executive Committee agenda to discuss and have budgets passed at the March 2017 UCPD BOD meeting.
- T. Gahagan asks if last year's figure has been justified.
 - E. Hannon answers J. Jimenez is working with comptroller and treasurer's office to reconcile, but she hasn't seen (a reconciliation of revue and expense estimates) in the last 3 years.
- T. Gahagan thinks it's heinous that the City continues this estimation practice.
- E. Hannon is working with J. Jimenez to develop processes so UCPD can have a better understanding of administrative cost before the split, and how the city spends money on behalf of the parking district.
- C. Moreno wonders why UCPD is required to present a budget considering how convoluted this process is.

12. City Report (Information Items)

- J. Jimenez comments everything in his report has already been addressed.

13. Neighborhood Committee Updates (Information Items)

- D. Scott notes the BHNPC conducted neighborhood outreach and was able to connect with over 50 people at a community group meeting.
- S. Stockley notes a recent pedestrian fatality and comments there are many dangerous curbs and crossings in International Restaurant Row.

ADJOURN

Motion Number (UCPD)(YR)(Month)(#)	Motion Text	Introduced By	Vote
<u>UCPD170201</u>	<u>Motion: to Approve UCPD Minutes for December 2016 and January 2017</u>	Susan McNeil Schreyer Seconded: Steve Saars	For: 9 (B. Nicholls, R. Dahl, S. M. Schreyer, S. Stockley, S. Saars, T. Fox C. Moreno, D. Scott C. L. Benedict) Against: 0 Abstain: 1 (M. Garth)
<u>UCPD170202</u>	<u>Motion: to Approve Approval Financials – Re-stating October 2016, approving November and December 2016:</u>	Roy Dahl Seconded: Cecelia Moreno	For: 8 (B. Nicholls, R. Dahl, S. M. Schreyer, S. Stockley, S. Saars, C. Moreno, T. Gahagan, T. Fox) Against: 1 (C. L. Benedict) Abstain: 2 (D. Scott, M. Garth)
<u>UCPD170203</u>	<u>Motion: to Approve Sending the NAPP Project Program letter to the San Diego City Council for Consideration</u>	Roy Dahl Seconded: Cecelia Moreno	For: 11 (B. Nicholls, R. Dahl, S. M. Schreyer, S. Stockley, S. Saars, C. Moreno, D. Scott, M. Garth, T. Gahagan, C. L. Benedict, , T. Fox) Against: 0 Abstain: 0
<u>UCPD170204</u>	<u>Motion: to Approve Developing an Internship Program for the Bankers Hill Neighborhood Parking Committee during the summer of 2017</u>	Char Lou Benedict Seconded: Ben Nicholls	For: 11 (B. Nicholls, R. Dahl, S. M. Schreyer, S. Stockley, S. Saars, C. Moreno, D. Scott, M. Garth, T. Gahagan, C. L. Benedict, , T. Fox) Against: 0 Abstain: 0